

# **Scrutiny Board**

5 December 2017

Report title Schedule of Petitions

Cabinet member with lead

responsibility

Councillor Milkinderpal Jaspal, Governance

Wards affected All

**Accountable director** Kevin O'Keefe, Governance

Originating service Democratic Services

Accountable employee(s) Jaswinder Kaur Democratic Services Manager

Tel 01902 550320

Email jaswinder.kaur@wolverhampton.gov.uk

Report to be/has been

considered by

#### Recommendation(s) for action or decision:

The Scrutiny Board is recommended to note the actions taken in relation to all petitions received by the Council during the last six months.

# This report is PUBLIC [NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED]

### 1.0 Purpose

1.1 To note the actions taken in relation to petitions received by the Council during the last six months attached in the Appendix.

## 2.0 Background

- 2.1 At the Scrutiny Board meeting on 30 May 2017, it was agreed that the Board would receive a six-monthly update report detailing actions taken in relation to all petitions received by the Council.
- 2.2 It was also agreed that ward members be automatically notified of the outcome of petitions affecting them.

# 3.0 Implementation and Monitoring of the Petitions Scheme

- 3.1 All petitions submitted to the Council are received and monitored by Democratic Services.
- 3.2 Following receipt, petitions will be forwarded to the relevant service which will confirm if the Council can do what the petition asks.
- 3.3 Petitions with fewer than 2499 signatures are considered and responded to by employees, within 28 days of receipt by the relevant service area. A summary of responses will be reported to the Scrutiny Board, the relevant Cabinet Member(s), Shadow Leader's office and, where appropriate, the relevant Ward Members.
- 3.4 Petitions with 2500-4999 signatures are considered by the relevant scrutiny panel with recommendations made for action by employees or review by the Executive as appropriate.
- 3.5 Petitions with more than 5000 signatures are considered by Full Council.
- 3.6 As well as ensuring implementation of the Scheme, Democratic Services also monitor actions taken in response to petitions. It is suggested that the Scrutiny Board receive an six monthly report presenting this information. This would ensure that the Board are informed of actions taken in relation to all petitions received by the Council, including those considered by other scrutiny bodies and Full Council.

#### 4.0 Financial implications

4.1 There are no financial implications associated with the report recommendation. [GE/16112017/R]

#### 5.0 Legal implications

5.1 The Petitions Scheme referred to in this report ensures that the Council meets it obligations under the Local Democracy, Economic Development and Construction Act

# This report is PUBLIC [NOT PROTECTIVELY MARKED]

2009 to have a mechanism for consideration of petitions from the public. [TS/16112017/W]

## 6.0 Equalities implications

6.1 The proposals outlined in this report do not require an equalities analysis. The recommendations will not affect the Council's practice of encouraging, considering and responding to petitions.

#### 7.0 Environmental implications

7.1 There are no environmental implications arising from this report.

#### 8.0 Human resources implications

8.1 There are no human resources implications arising from this report.

# 9.0 Corporate landlord implications

9.1 There are no corporate landlord implications arising from this report.

#### 10.0 Schedule of background papers

N/A